

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/465,980	CALDER ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Lilian Vo	2195	

All Participants:

Status of Application: Amendment

(1) Lilian Vo.

(3) _____

(2) Mr. Greg Durbin.

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 22 November 2006

Time: 10AM

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/a

Claims discussed:

1 - 6, 10, 11, 15, 21, 27, 29, 34, 36, 40 and 46

Prior art documents discussed:

N/a

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicant's attorney Mr. Durbin authorized an examiner's amendment to cancel claims 1 and 2 and to clarify claims 5, 10, 15, 21, 27, 29 34 and 46 to place them in condition for allowing.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 MENG-ALT, JON
 SENIOR PATENT EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)